

MINUTES FOR THE TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION MEETING
HELD IN THE ODOT BUILDING COMMISSION MEETING ROOM
OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA

BE IT REMEMBERED that on Monday the 1st day of August, 2016, at the hour of 11:00 a.m., the Transportation Commission met in the Commission Meeting Room of the ODOT Building in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

NOTICE of the schedule of regular meetings of the Oklahoma Department of Transportation for the calendar year 2016 having been given in writing to the Oklahoma Secretary of State, and public notice and agenda having been posted in a prominent public view at or before 11:00 a.m. on Thursday, July 28, 2016, prior to the meeting, on the Atrium Informational Monitor in the ODOT building, and on the glass doors on the north side of the ODOT Building in accordance with Oklahoma Open Meeting Act, 25 O.S. Section 311.

ITEMS PRESENTED BY COMMISSION CHAIRMAN

CALL TO ORDER: Mr. David Burrage called the meeting to order at 11:01 a.m.

ROLL CALL:

Present:	John Fidler	Member
	David Burrage	Chairman
	Dan Overland	Member
	Greg Love	Member
	Todd Huckabay	Secretary
	Bobby Alexander	Member
	Brad Burgess	Vice-Chairman
	Pete Regan	Member

Absent: None

Presiding: David Burrage

The following items were presented and approved as written at the Transportation Commission meeting of August 1, 2016. For those items amended, deferred, or rejected, those notations were also made. Action taken by the Commission is noted here on these sheets.

ITEM PRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO THE COMMISSION

104. Approval of the Minutes of the Special Transportation Commission Meeting of July 11, 2016

ACTION: Overland moved and Burgess seconded that the Minutes be approved as presented.
MOTION: Carried by the following vote:
AYES: Fidler, Overland, Love, Burrage, Huckabay, Alexander and Burgess
NAYES: None
ABSTAIN: None
ABSENT: None

CONSENT DOCKET PRESENTED BY COMMISSION CHAIRMAN

105. Programming of Federal Railroad Crossing Safety Funds—Section 130 Title 23 Funds – Mr. Moody

- a) Grady County – District VII – a signal/surface project which includes the installation of cantilever-mounted flashing light signals with gate arms and a 48' concrete crossing surface at US-81 in Chickasha, with the Union Pacific Railroad - \$434,850
- b) Stephens County - District VII - a signal/surface project which includes the installation of pedestal-mounted flashing light signals with gate arms and a 24' concrete crossing surface at E1860 near Comanche, with the Union Pacific Railroad - \$359,686
- c) Craig County – District VIII – a signal/surface project which includes the installation of pedestal-mounted flashing light signals with gate arms and a 24' concrete crossing surface at E0050 near Welch, with the Union Pacific Railroad - \$322,450
- d) Creek County – District VIII – a signal/surface project which includes the installation of pedestal-mounted flashing light signals with gate arms and a 40' concrete crossing surface at West 81st Street South in Sapulpa, with the Tulsa Sapulpa Union Railway - \$217,278

106. Traffic Control Improvement Projects – Mr. Smart

- a) Wagoner and Cherokee Counties - District I – installation of Centerline Rumble Strips and pavement markings at various locations - \$206,000
- b) Bryan, Pittsburg and LeFlore Counties - District II – installation of Centerline Rumble Strips and pavement markings at various locations - \$235,000
- c) Cleveland, Johnston and Seminole Counties – District III – installation of Centerline Rumble Strips and pavement markings at various locations - \$250,000
- d) Noble and Payne Counties – District IV – installation of Centerline Rumble Strips and pavement markings at various locations - \$125,000
- e) Texas County - District VI - installation of Centerline Rumble Strips at various locations on US-54 - \$82,000
- f) Delaware, Ottawa and Osage Counties - District VIII - installation of Centerline Rumble Strips and pavement markings at various locations - \$225,000
- g) Washington County - District VIII – installation of a new video traffic-actuated signal control system with poles, mast arms and luminaires at new intersection located 1,550 feet east of the existing signalized intersection of US-60 and Silver Lake Road in the City of Bartlesville

107. Speed Zone Revisions – Mr. Smart

- a) Texas County – District VI – various locations along US-64 in Guymon
- b) Creek County – District VIII – along SH-166 beginning at N. 9th Street, extending east 0.69 mile to N. Frankoma Road in Sapulpa

108. Land Sales – Mr. Phillips

- a) Johnston County – District III – Land Sale - on the South side of SH-22 at its intersection with E. 9th Street in Tishomingo - \$5,420.00
- b) Grady County – District VII – Land Sale – 0.15 miles west of 4 Lakes Drive on south side of US Highway No. 62 - \$17,460.00

ACTION: Fidler moved and Huckabay seconded that the Consent Docket be approved as presented.
MOTION: Carried by the following vote:
AYES: Fidler, Overland, Love, Burrage, Huckabay, Alexander, Burgess and Regan
NAYES: None
ABSENT: None

END OF CONSENT DOCKET

ITEMS PRESENTED BY DIRECTOR OF ENGINEERING – Mr. Tegeler

109. Engineering Contracts

- a) Oklahoma County – District IV – to provide preliminary engineering and prepare construction plans for I-40 Bridge Raising at Post Road and Westminster Road over I-40

EC-1776	HNTB Corporation	\$268,340.00
---------	------------------	--------------

- b) Oklahoma County – District IV – to provide preliminary engineering and prepare construction plans for I-40 Bridges over Anderson Road and I-240 Westbound Ramp on I-40

EC-1777	CEC Corporation	\$842,100.00
---------	-----------------	--------------

- c) Tulsa County - District VIII – to provide preliminary engineering and prepare construction plans for US-169 over 76th Street (SH-135), 8.7 miles north of I-44

EC-1779	Smith Roberts Baldischwiler, LLC	\$1,356,700.00
---------	----------------------------------	----------------

- d) Tulsa County - District VIII – to provide preliminary engineering for I-44 from I-244 Interchange, east to the Arkansas River

EC-1780	Garver, LLC	\$1,426,350.00
---------	-------------	----------------

ACTION: Overland moved and Alexander seconded that the Items be approved as presented.
MOTION: Carried by the following vote:
AYES: Fidler, Overland, Love, Burrage, Huckabay, Alexander, Burgess and Regan
NAYES: None
ABSENT: None

110. Engineering Contract Supplements

- a) McCurtain County – District II – to provide additional engineering services to develop final construction plans for US-70 from 5.00 miles east of Broken Bow, east to near the Oklahoma/Arkansas State Line

EC-1222	Supplement 3	Benham Design, LLC	\$33,400.00
---------	--------------	--------------------	-------------

- b) Pottawatomie County – District III – to perform additional engineering services to develop final construction plans for US-270 over US-270B, 4.25 miles south of I-40

EC-1579A	Supplement 2	Aguirre and Fields, LP	\$13,800.00
----------	--------------	------------------------	-------------

- c) Kingfisher County – District IV - to perform additional engineering to develop final construction plans for SH-51 over Skeleton Creek, approximately 11.3 miles east of JCT SH-51/US-81

EC-1500H Supplement 1 Professional Engineering Consultants, P.A. \$36,215.00

- d) Logan County – District IV - to perform additional engineering to develop final construction plans for SH-33 over Gar Creek, 2.8 miles East of SH-74

EC-1500I Supplement 1 Grossman & Keith Engineering Co. \$168,659.00

- e) Dewey County – District V - to perform additional engineering to develop final construction plans for US-270/SH-3 from south of SH-51 east junction, south approximately 4.8 miles

EC-1332A Supplement 3 Dewberry Engineers, Inc. \$482,690.00

- f) Woodward County – District VI - to perform additional engineering to develop final construction plans for SH-34 over South Persimmon Creek approximately 4.9 miles north of Dewey C/L and SH-34 over north Persimmon Creek, approximately 7.6 miles north of Dewey C/L

EC-1394D Supplement 4 CEC Corporation \$30,000.00

- g) Ottawa County – District VIII - to perform additional engineering to develop final construction plans for US-60 over Horse Creek Tributary

EC – 1281C Supplement 1 Benham Design, LLC \$111,281.00

ACTION: Huckabay moved and Regan seconded that the Items be approved as presented.
MOTION: Carried by the following vote:
AYES: Fidler, Overland, Love, Burrage, Huckabay, Alexander, Burgess and Regan
NAYES: None
ABSENT: None

ITEMS PRESENTED BY THE PROGRAMS DIVISION MANAGER – Mr. Adkins

111. Lettings

- a) Final October, 2016 Bid Opening
b) Tentative November, 2016 Bid Opening

ACTION: Overland moved and Alexander seconded that the Items be approved as presented.
MOTION: Carried by the following vote:
AYES: Fidler, Overland, Love, Burrage, Huckabay, Alexander, Burgess and Regan
NAYES: None
ABSENT: None

ITEMS PRESENTED BY ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONS – Mr. Raymond

112. Change Orders with Cumulative Total of \$50,000.00 or Less – Information Only

- a) Beaver County - Co. Rd. - CIRB-104C(062)RB / 24838(04), \$32,028.23

- b) Carter County - US-70 - BRFY-110B(170) / STPY-110B(232) / CIRB-110C(204)RB / SSP-110B(218)SS / 09477(08), \$1,918.33
- c) Carter County - Co. Rd. - STP-110D(239)CI / 28377(04), \$22,230.55
- d) Comanche County - I-44 - NHPPI-216N(042)SS / 31385(04), \$12,446.73
- e) Cotton County - US-70 - SSP-217C(009)(010)SS / 29551(04), \$4,462.03
- f) Garfield County - Co. Rd. - CIRB-124C(113)RB / 24868(04), \$54,849.08 Underrun
- g) Leflore County - SH-112 - STP-240C(030)3P / 29725(04), \$5,348.33
- h) Leflore County - SH-112 - SSR-240C(033)SR / 31326(04), \$15,842.76
- i) Lincoln County - SH-66 - SSR-241C(029)SR / 29802(04), \$960.00
- j) Love County - I-35 - SAP-243N(009)(008)FM / 25909(26), \$18,933.20
- k) Mayes County - SH-82 - STPY-149C(082)SS / 21911(04), \$7,855.08 Underrun
- l) McClain County - SH-59 - SSP-244C(025)SS / 29107(04), \$2,149.37
- m) Oklahoma County - Ct. St. - STP-155A(878)AG / 17827(05), \$17,504.00
- n) Oklahoma County - Co. Rd. - STP-155D(916)CB / 28765(04), \$44,956.46
- o) Oklahoma County - Ct. St. - HSIPG-255N(139) / 29858(04), \$2,075.00
- p) Oklahoma County - Ct. St. - STPG-255A(248)AG / 30213(04), \$63.00
- q) Oklahoma County - I-44 - SAP-255N(357) / 32209(04), \$4,500.00
- r) Payne County - SH-18 - SSP-160B(129)SS / 21867(04), \$31,423.12
- s) Tulsa County - Ct. St. - STP-272C(097)SS / NHPP-272A(125)SS / 28867(04), \$2,750.00
- t) Tulsa County - US-75 - HSIP-272B(087)TR / 31398(04), \$946.96

113. Change Orders with Cumulative Total Greater than \$50,000.00

- a) Beckham County - Co. Rd. - CIRB-105C(158)RB / 24840(04), \$564,000.00
- b) Beckham County - I-40B - SSR-105A(199)SR / 28136(04), \$4,017.83
- c) Bryan County - US-69 - SBR-207N(046)SB / SSR-207N(050)(049)SR / 31975(04), \$99,209.00
- d) Caddo County - SH-9 - SSP-208B(025)SS / 31097(04), \$61,829.59
- e) Carter County - I-35 - HSIPIG-3500(010)TR / 31558(04), \$174,429.60
- f) Cleveland County - I-35 - NHPPIY-0035-2(326) / 09031(11), \$924.79 Underrun
- g) Cleveland County - Co. Rd. - CIRB-114C(210)RB / 24829(04), \$263,498.08
- h) Cleveland County - I-35 - IM-NHIY-0035-2(317)107 / 27896(04), \$34,101.69
- i) Delaware County - SH-10 - BRFY-021C(256) / 10441(04), \$12,449.82
- j) Greer County - SH-34 - STPY-128C(069)SS / 24207(04), \$187,336.99
- k) Kay County - US-77 - STP-136C(209)3P / 28260(04), \$171,436.00
- l) Oklahoma County - SH-74 - STPY-055C(861)SS / 14964(04), \$137,656.44
- m) Oklahoma County - Ct. St. - STP-155C(661)AG / 25653(04), \$23,078.51
- n) Ottawa County - SH-10 - BRFY-058C(235)(237) / STPY-058C(240) / 08932(04), \$86,449.03 Underrun
- o) Ottawa County - US-60 - STP-258B(003)3P / 29103(04), \$39,886.15
- p) Payne County - Co. Rd. - CIRB-160D(183)RB / 22169(09), \$11,760.06
- q) Pontotoc County - SH-1 - MC-262N(014) / 29906(04), \$198,024.74
- r) Rogers County - SH-66 - STP-266C(031)SS / 20926(05), \$175,411.68
- s) Sequoyah County - Co. Rd. - STP-168C(204)CI / 21975(04), \$13,364.70
- t) Tulsa County - I-44 - IMY-0044-2(399)226 / 06374(47), \$22,519.61
- u) Tulsa County - SH-266 - NHPPY-172N(319) / 23167(04), \$172,919.39
- v) Tulsa County - I-44 - HSIPG-272C(122)IT / 23170(07), \$82,344.12
- w) Tulsa County - I-244 - NHPPI-0244-2(502)SS / 27145(04), \$2,116.36
- x) Woodward County - SH-15 - SSP-277N(008)SS / SSP-177N(085)SS / 24159(07)#4, \$23,952.60
- y) Woodward County - SH-15 - SSP-277N(008)SS / SSP-177N(085)SS / 24159(07)#6, \$86,056.54

ACTION: Fidler moved and Huckabay seconded that the Items be approved as presented.
MOTION: Carried by the following vote:
AYES: Fidler, Overland, Love, Burrage, Huckabay, Alexander, Burgess and Regan
NAYES: None
ABSENT: None

ITEM PRESENTED BY THE OFFICE ENGINEER – Mr. Delce

114. Awards

July 21, 2016 – Regular Letting

ACTION: Regan moved and Fidler seconded that the Items be approved as presented.
MOTION: Carried by the following vote:
AYES: Fidler, Overland, Love, Burrage, Huckabay, Alexander, Burgess and Regan
NAYES: None
ABSENT: None

ITEM PRESENTED BY LOCAL GOVERNMENT DIVISION ENGINEER – Mr. Sheffert

115. Award of Transportation Alternatives Projects

TAP provides federal funding for programs and projects defined as transportation alternatives, including pedestrian and bicycle facilities, community improvement activities, safe routes to school projects, and other related activities.

The Department has reviewed applications submitted by eligible local sponsors for TAP projects in 2 of the population based categories:

- (0-5,000)
- (5,000-200,000)

The Department has partnered with the 11 regional Councils of Governments statewide to provide their recommendations reflecting local priorities for this program.

Approximately \$42 Million in TAP funds were requested in this round of applications.

Projects are being awarded based on available funding as determined by a competitive application process established by the Department.

Mr. Sheffert showed a map related to the Transportation Alternatives Program. He stated that the TAP program this year is going to have about \$22 million worth of projects: sidewalks, trail projects, some enhancement projects in safe routes to schools, and then also some museum type projects there. We've got two categories: under 5,000 populations in the communities, and then a 5,000-200,000 population. In these, like I say we've got about \$22 million worth of projects for 45 total projects. We had 88 applicants, and with the help of our sub-state planning districts and also our field divisions, we've paired this down to our 45 projects. On your table you have a list of the projects individually and the sites. They are listed by field division for your individual projects in your locations there.

NOTE: *Commissioner Burgess thanked ODOT for what they have done with these TAP programs. Down in southwest Oklahoma, we don't qualify for ASCOG money like Oklahoma City and Tulsa. These projects are really needed and we've got a movement in southwest Oklahoma called the "Fit Kids Coalition". I brought a little map with me here about that program, it's been kind of a ground-up movement to fight childhood obesity, which is a really bad issue here in Oklahoma; and these programs that you guys do, go a long ways in regards to helping with that, and we certainly appreciate all that ODOT does in that regard.*

Commissioner Love said he would second that and stated it looks like a great program. He asked how we are doing the grading, how do you choose who to give the funding to?

Mr. Sheffert answered that when we received the applications; we got the sub-state planning districts involved to look at what's within their general regions and what are high-priority projects for those areas. After they provided their input, we got with our field divisions, and then our ODOT staff looked at previous maintenance and previous activities, and that was one of the factors that they did use; if some of the communities that had received some of this funding in the past, we looked whether they had followed up with long-term maintenance; and that was a category that was factored into the scoring. The funding was broken down into two different programs: under 5,000 and over 5,000. There was quite a bit of interest in the over 5,000 population. I think one of the hardest things for the smaller communities is coming up with their minimum 20% match. That's something we need to try to help with for some of these smaller communities or other organizations that were seeking some of this funding. That's something we can try to address in the future; in early 2018 we are going to try and go for another call of TAP projects.

Commissioner Love asked how often we offer the TAP Program.

Mr. Sheffert replied about every two years or so.

Commissioner Love asked if this was federal fund monies that are matched by the local community. Or is there just a big pot of money that Oklahoma just happens to get some proportionate percentage of, or how does this work?

Mr. Sheffert said this is out of the MAPS Program. Dedicated funding in the past; there have been enhancement funds and safe routes to schools; this combined those. Another thing that's changing this time is that ODOT is going to administer this program. In the past, we had just provided the money to the Grantees; but now we are going to help them progress these projects forward from the idea with their selection of engineers; and then ultimately, we will do the lettings and oversee the project development form.

Commissioner Love said that he would definitely encourage us to do what we can do to help these smaller communities, as you said, because this kind of money can really make a big difference in the smaller community. It's an important thing for all, but for a smaller community, it can be a really big deal.

Mr. Sheffert said that is very, very true.

ACTION: Alexander moved and Overland seconded that the Items be approved as presented.
MOTION: Carried by the following vote:
AYES: Fidler, Overland, Love, Burrage, Huckabay, Alexander, Burgess and Regan
NAYES: None
ABSENT: None

ITEMS PRESENTED BY THE DIRECTOR – Mr. Patterson

116. Declaration of Emergency

Kay County – District VI – Railroad Bridge 26.3 Blackwell Northern Gateway Rail Line - \$80,000.00

NOTE: Director Patterson read the Declaration of Emergency into the record.

Information Only: No Commission action required.

117. Declaration of Emergency

Murray County – District VII – US-77 SB Bridge over I-35 NBI# 17579 Structure# 5032 0542 X-
\$168,500.00

NOTE: Director Patterson read the Declaration of Emergency into the record.

Information Only: No Commission action required.

118. Director’s Report

Director Patterson said that his report this month is going to be short. First, I'd like to thank Shannon Sheffert and Mark Scott; particularly Mark for his efforts on the TAP program, and taking all those applications in. First getting the awareness out about the TAP program, taking those applications in, getting with the sub-state planning districts, which is new for us this year to have them as part of our evaluation team; and I really appreciate them being involved in that evaluation. Once then after those were evaluated by them, getting the input from our field Division Engineers, and then ultimately to this point. It's a long process and I know that there were a lot of people interested. Some of those people who received your grants today are sitting in this room today and they really appreciate this project. Then the two comments by Commissioners Love and Burgess about the impact that it makes, and I know that all the Commissioners feel that way. But we're making some major impacts in a small way to the communities across Oklahoma. So thank you to everyone involved in that process.

The other thing I've been asked numerous times since the Governor announced that there was additional money, and there may be a special session, and what does all that mean? Well, you recall I reported to you that we had two revenue failures during the spring of this year. Actually, they overestimated the revenue failure, and so the failure was not as great as it was anticipated, so there is additional money. Without a special session, ODOT will receive back approximately \$11 million because the money would just go back to the agencies from which it came. With a special session, I don't think that's the case.

Information Only: No Commission action required.

ITEM PRESENTED BY COMMISSION CHAIRMAN

119. Adjournment Motion

ACTION: Burgess moved and Alexander seconded that the Meeting be adjourned.
MOTION: Carried by the following vote:
AYES: Fidler, Overland, Love, Burrage, Huckabay, Alexander, Burgess and Regan
NAYES: None
ABSENT: None

Meeting adjourned at 11:23 a.m.

Approval of the Minutes of the Transportation Commission Meeting of August 1, 2016.

David Burrage – Chairman

Brad Burgess – Vice Chairman