



LONG-RANGE CAPITAL PLANNING COMMISSION
SPECIAL MEETING MINUTES
July 16, 2026, 1:00 PM
Oklahoma State Capitol Building
Senate Conference Room 4s.9
2300 N. Lincoln Blvd., Oklahoma City, OK 73105

A meeting notice was filed with the Secretary of State, and an agenda has been posted in accordance with the Open Meeting Act.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Travis Mason, Chairman, Director of Commercial Oklahoma, Governor Appointee
Bailey Cook, Wm. Bailey Cook III, P.C., House Speaker Appointee
Josh Cruzan, CEO of Rimrock Energy Partners, LLC, Governor Appointee
Brandon Ersteniuk, CEO of Ersteniuk Insurance Agency, Senate Appointee
Gary Hamer, Director of Strategic Planning, PartnerTulsa, Pro Tempore Appointee
Anthony Sammons, Director of Fiscal Staff of Senate, Pro Tempore Appointee

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Jeff Wills, Managing Partner, Wills Holdings LLC, Governor Appointee

GUESTS:

Beverly Hicks, OMES	LaRonda Molina, ODOC	Elizabeth Cooper, OKDHS
Mary Jackson, OMES Legal	Andrea Gossard, Guest	Toyia Mayfield, OKDHS
Izzie Calingasan, OMES Legal	Kyle Nelson, Guest	Russell Boyd, OKDHS
Monte Kaul, OMES	Jamie Manoles, OCIA	Shawn Murphy, CLEET
Steven Birkes, OMES	Maria Maule, OAG	Josh Sutton, CLEET
Chris Gibbons, OTRD	Lindsey Presley, ODMH	Shelby Humphrey, CLEET
Shawn Ashley, Quorum Call	Chad Carden, ODMH	Brook Christian, CLEET
Stacie Willis, ODOC	Danielle Durkee, OKDHS	

1. Announcement of filing of meeting notice and posting of the agenda in accordance with the Open Meeting Act.

Chairman Travis Mason announced that notice of the meeting had been filed and the agenda posted in accordance with the Oklahoma Open Meeting Act.

2. Call to order and confirmation of quorum. [Chair]

Chairman Mason called the meeting to order at 1:01 PM. A roll call confirmed that a quorum was present.

3. Welcome/Introductions. [Chair]

Chairman Mason welcomed commission members and guests to the meeting. He commended the agencies for their work with the Office of Management and Enterprise Services (OMES)

and for refining their information to provide a better understanding of the needs to be addressed by the Commission.

4. Approval of minutes from the April 29, 2026, Commission meeting:

Commissioner Josh Cruzan moved to approve the April meeting minutes as presented. Commissioner Gary Hamer seconded the motion. The motion passed, and the following votes were recorded:

Mr. Cruzan, aye; Mr. Ersteniuk, aye; Mr. Hamer, aye; Mr. Cook, aye; Mr. Sammons, aye; Chair Mason, aye.

5. Finance and project updates for the Maintenance of State Buildings Revolving Fund (MSBRF).

Brandy Manek, OMES Director of Budget Policy and Gaming, provided a finance and project update for the Maintenance of State Buildings Revolving Fund (MSBRF). She stated that the second quarter had recently concluded and that project updates were not yet available. She reported that OMES was finalizing the amount transferred to the OCAMP Fund and had worked with agencies with existing projects to reconcile amounts being held in the MSBRF for projects that remained approved under the existing framework. She stated that \$5.361 million needed to be held for those projects. She further reported that \$6.493 million had been transferred to the OCAMP Fund, with the remaining funds in the MSBRF reserved for projects that were still in progress.

No action was taken.

6. Discussion and possible action on a request from the Oklahoma Department of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services (ODMHSAS) to transfer funds between previously approved Maintenance of State Buildings Revolving Fund (MSBRF) projects as part of the transition to the Oklahoma Capital Assets Maintenance and Protection (OCAMP) framework.

Chadd Carden, ODMHSAS Chief of Operations, presented a request from the Oklahoma Department of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services (ODMHSAS) to transfer \$650,000 from LRP 10096, the TRC tornado shelter project, to LRP 10153, the OFC flooring project. He explained that more than \$1 million had been allocated to the tornado shelter project, which was contracted for \$265,000, leaving \$650,000 available for transfer. He stated that the flooring project had \$260,000 allocated, while quotes for the flooring totaled \$765,000. He further noted that approximately \$27,000 was needed for the design of the structural repairs, bringing the flooring and design costs to approximately \$792,000.

Mr. Carden explained that structural repairs were needed in the administrative portion of the facility and that the actual cost of the repairs was not yet known. He stated that the agency

intended to obtain the structural design and a quote for the repairs before proceeding with flooring in the affected area. He explained that the flooring project addressed the entire facility and that the lack of a moisture barrier when the facility was constructed had resulted in deteriorating flooring and created a safety concern. He also clarified that both projects were located at the same facility in Vinita and that the tornado shelter project had excess funding while the flooring project did not have sufficient funding to begin.

The Commission discussed whether the transferred funds would first be used for the structural repairs and whether flooring could proceed in portions of the facility not affected by the structural issues. Mr. Carden explained that the structural issues were located in the administrative portion of the building and that the flooring work was needed throughout the facility. He stated that the agency could prioritize the structural work in the affected area and adjust the flooring work as necessary based on available funding.

Commission Counsel Mary Jackson explained that the funds had already been encumbered under the Maintenance of State Buildings Revolving Fund (MSBRF) and that the proposed transfer involved applying funds already encumbered for one project to another project. She noted that the statutory transition to the OCAMP framework applied to unencumbered funds, while the funds under consideration had already been encumbered.

Commissioner Gary Hamer moved to approve the transfer of the money to address both the structural issues and the flooring issues in the flooring of the entire facility and the structural issue on the portion in the administrative portion. He stated that the motion would allow flexibility to use the funds to address the structural issue and the remaining flooring needs based on available funding.

The Commission discussed whether a timeframe should be included with the motion. Following clarification from Ms. Manek regarding the existing extensions, Ms. Jackson stated that the projects had historically been under open-ended extensions and that no specific timeline had been placed on those extensions. Chairman Mason concluded that a second to Commissioner Hamer's motion was sufficient. Commissioner Josh Cruzan seconded the motion.

The motion passed, and the following votes were recorded:

Mr. Cruzan, aye; Mr. Ersteniuk, aye; Mr. Hamer, aye; Mr. Cook, aye; Mr. Sammons, aye;
Chair Mason, aye.

7. Discussion, update, and possible action regarding the use of strategic disposals for state agencies.

a. OKDHS Service First Program Update.

Elizabeth Cooper, OKDHS Deputy Director of Human Services, provided an update on LRP 10098, the Service First Program. She explained that OKDHS announced its real estate modernization plan in 2021 to identify, lease, renovate, and acquire properties to replace the agency's large and outdated real estate footprint. She described the three primary types of facilities included in the plan: Human Services Centers, Children and Family Services Centers, and administrative offices.

Ms. Cooper reported that the project remained ongoing, with 14 offices closed and 45 new offices established. She stated that two real estate modernization locations were under development, two locations were in leased development, and 18 locations were pending leadership or budget approval for renovations. She noted that access-control improvements were a current priority. She further reported that OKDHS had physical properties in 55 counties, compared to 72 counties at the beginning of the Service First initiative, and that the agency had a presence in 68 counties when including buildings, access points, and embedded workers. She stated that approximately \$4.3 million remained in Long-Range Capital Planning Commission funds for projects at state-owned properties, with six projects completed and 12 projects remaining at owned locations. She also identified three properties pending sale.

Commissioner Josh Cruzan asked about the value of the three properties pending sale. Russell Boyd, OKDHS Director of Support Services, stated that two had been appraised and were valued at approximately \$1 million each, while the Oklahoma County property had not yet been appraised.

Commissioner Bailey Cook asked whether there was a minimum sale price based on the appraised value. Carie Carman, Director of Real Estate and Leasing, explained that the properties would be offered through a sealed-bid process with a minimum bid of 90 percent of the appraised value. She stated that if a property could not be sold at 90 percent of the appraised value after multiple offerings, OKDHS could return to the Commission to request authorization to sell for less than 90 percent or liquidate the property.

Commission Counsel Mary Jackson explained that strategic disposals had historically been approved under the previous eight-year plan, with proceeds returned to the agency for other approved projects. She stated that the Commission was now considering the broader issue of how strategic disposals would be handled following the statutory transition to the OCAMP framework. Ms. Cooper confirmed that proceeds from the sale of OKDHS-owned properties were intended for use on owned properties.

The Commission discussed the transition from the previous Maintenance of State Buildings Revolving Fund (MSBRF) and eight-year plan to the OCAMP framework and five-year plan. Ms. Carman explained that the three OKDHS properties pending sale had been approved under the previous strategic disposal process, but that the proceeds from any sale would now be deposited into the OCAMP Fund. She stated that the Service First strategic disposal had not yet been included in the approved five-year OCAMP plan and that the process for directing the proceeds to OKDHS therefore needed further review. Ms. Jackson agreed that the Commission was in a transition period and explained that the statutory mechanism for strategic disposals remained, but that additional review was needed regarding the transition and submission of the five-year plan to the Legislature.

The Commission discussed whether the properties should proceed through the sale process before the new plan was submitted to the Legislature. Ms. Carman stated that the Commission could not take action that would allow the proceeds to be immediately distributed to OKDHS under the current circumstances. She explained that the proceeds would go into the OCAMP Fund and could ultimately be distributed through an approved strategic disposal included in the five-year plan. Ms. Jackson further clarified that the five-year plan approved by the Commission had not yet been submitted to the Legislature and that additional review was needed to determine the Commission's ability to amend the plan before legislative review.

The Commission discussed possible options for addressing the three pending properties and the timing of the Service First project. Commissioner Gary Hamer suggested that staff further review the available options and return with a recommendation regarding the appropriate path forward. The Commission also discussed the potential timing of the sale process, including the sealed-bid process, which Ms. Carman stated takes approximately six weeks.

Following further discussion, the Commission determined that additional clarification and review were needed before taking action on the strategic disposals.

Commissioner Gary Hamer moved to table item 7 until September. Commissioner Anthony Sammons seconded the motion. The motion passed, and the following votes were recorded:

Mr. Cruzan, aye; Mr. Ersteniuk, aye; Mr. Hamer, aye; Mr. Cook, aye; Mr. Sammons, aye;
Chair Mason, aye.

8. Update and discussion from Oklahoma Capitol Improvement Authority ("OCIA") on status of Memorandum of Understandings and distribution of OCAMP proceeds.

Ms. Jamie Manoles, OCIA Director, provided an update on the status of the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the Oklahoma Capitol Improvement Authority (OCIA) and the Office of Management and Enterprise Services (OMES). She reported that the MOU regarding

the five-year plan and allocation, which had been discussed at the previous meeting, had been officially signed and executed, allowing OCIA to move forward with requests

Ms. Manoles reported that OCIA had received \$6.4 million from the Maintenance of State Buildings Revolving Fund (MSBRF) pursuant to Senate Bill 1991. She also reported that the first interest deposit into the account was expected in August and that the account was now enrolled in OK Invest through the State Treasurer's Office. She stated that the earnings would go into the Oklahoma Capital Assets Maintenance and Protection (OCAMP) Fund.

Ms. Manoles stated that the timing of the impact would depend on how quickly OMES and the Oklahoma Tourism and Recreation Department requested funds, noting that approximately \$130 million remained available for withdrawal.

No action was taken.

9. Discussion and possible action regarding the Council of Law Enforcement Education and Training emergency project as declared under Title 61 O.S. §130.

Shelby Humphrey, Executive Director of the Council of Law Enforcement Education and Training (CLEET), introduced Sean Murphy, Finance Manager, and Jason Sutton, Facilities Manager. Ms. Humphrey reported that CLEET had experienced a failure of one of the eight compressors serving its chiller system, which was original to the facility constructed in 2006. She stated that repairing the failed compressor and addressing other identified issues would cost approximately \$75,000. CLEET requested funding for the emergency project through deferred maintenance.

Chairman Mason asked whether the purpose of the item was to declare the project an emergency and whether the funding would be addressed through a different process. Carie Carman explained that CLEET had declared an emergency through the process established for state agencies and that the declaration had initiated the emergency project process. She stated that CLEET had reduced its original request to the work considered necessary to sustain its services. She further explained that, because the project was not included in the current plan, the approval process differed from that for a project already included in the plan. Ms. Carman stated that it was appropriate to notify the Long-Range Capital Planning Commission (LRCPC) of the emergency project.

Chairman Mason asked whether the request was for the Commission to approve \$75,000 from a separate funding source. Commission Counsel Mary Jackson explained that the agency's emergency declaration was made pursuant to Title 61 O.S. § 130 and that the emergency project would proceed through the statutory process for use of Oklahoma Capital Assets Maintenance and Protection (OCAMP) Fund funding. She noted that CLEET's project was not currently

included in the OCAMP plan and would therefore need to be added through the emergency process.

Ms. Jackson stated that the \$75,000 would come from the OCAMP Fund. Ms. Carman reported that the funds had been determined to be available and that the required statutory process and notification to the LRCPC would be followed.

Ms. Jackson further explained that the emergency project would proceed through the applicable review process, including review by the President Pro Tempore of the Senate and the Speaker of the House, before the funds could be redirected by OMES. She noted that, under the rules applicable during the transition from the Maintenance of State Buildings Revolving Fund to the OCAMP framework, the LRCPC was also required to receive notice of emergency projects declared under the emergency process.

Chairman Mason asked about the distinction between the CLEET project and the Department of Commerce project listed under the following agenda item. Ms. Jackson explained that the Department of Commerce project was already included as an individual line item in the OCAMP plan previously approved by the Commission, whereas the CLEET project was not currently included in the plan. Ms. Jackson noted that both projects had been declared emergencies under the same statute.

Chairman Travis Mason moved, pending procedural approval, for the use of unencumbered funds for the emergency use of the project tied to the Council of Law Enforcement Education and Training emergency for a chiller. Commissioner Bailey Cook seconded the motion. The motion passed, and the following votes were recorded:

Mr. Cruzan, aye; Mr. Ersteniuk, aye; Mr. Hamer, aye; Mr. Cook, aye; Mr. Sammons, aye;
Chair Mason, aye.

10. **Discussion and possible action to amend the FY26-FY30 OCAMP State Five-year Plan.**
 - a. **Discussion and possible action regarding Department of Commerce emergency project as declared under Title 61 O.S. §130.**

Monte Kaul, Capital Assets Management Administrator, explained that Agenda Items 10 and 11 were related but had been separated so the Commission could consider the Department of Commerce Building project separately before addressing the amendment to the FY26-FY30 Oklahoma Capital Assets Maintenance and Protection (OCAMP) State Five-Year Plan. He noted that members of the Commission had recently toured the Commerce Building and that representatives from the Department of Commerce and its consultant, Vincit, were available to answer questions.

Chairman Mason asked whether Item 10 was intended to address funding for the Commerce project before the discussion of direct disbursement of funding under Item 12. Administrator Kaul confirmed that Item 10 was intended to address the Commerce project. He explained that Item 12 included two different funding plans, one providing for the Commerce project to be fully allocated and one in which it would not be fully allocated. Chairman Mason confirmed that Item 10 was for discussion of the Commerce project, and Administrator Kaul agreed.

Chairman Mason asked about the accuracy of the approximately \$8.2 million project cost and expressed concern about the change in pricing over the preceding seven months. Kyle Nelson, President of Vincit, provided background on the project. He stated that, following significant rainfall in spring 2025 and water intrusion at the building, Vincit was brought in to assess the condition of the building. He stated that Vincit completed a conditions assessment and provided a budget in September 2025. Vincit was subsequently authorized to proceed with 100% design documents to address the building envelope intrusion. Mr. Nelson reported that the design documents had been completed and that a guaranteed maximum price had been developed that was in line with the September 2025 cost model. He stated that the project remained within budget and that contingency remained available. He explained that, if funding was appropriated, the project would proceed to construction through execution of the guaranteed maximum price.

Chairman Mason asked whether Vincit had been paid for its fees and work completed to date. Mr. Nelson stated that the conditions assessment had been paid and that Vincit had billed for the design effort but had not been paid completely for the work necessary to reach 100% construction documents.

Chairman Mason asked about the AES amount included in the project. Mr. Nelson explained that the amount represented the funding released and authorized to complete the design documents and produce a biddable set of documents to confirm the budget established in the September conditions assessment.

Andrea Gossard, Vice President of Vincit, explained that the AES amount would not be included in the construction guaranteed maximum price, except for approximately \$100,000 for construction administration services, including enclosure testing and third-party agencies conducting ongoing testing and inspections during construction. Chairman Mason asked whether the \$455,000 AES amount included amounts already paid. Ms. Gossard confirmed that amounts paid were included in the \$455,000. She stated that, after the pending bill was paid, the total amount paid would be over \$350,000.

Chairman Mason asked about the priority of the Commerce Building project in relation to other projects previously ranked by the Commission. He noted that certain items, including site work associated with first-floor flooding, had been ranked lower on the overall list of 91 projects

totaling approximately \$38 million. He asked whether the issues observed at the Commerce Building, including the roof, window frames, and structural issues with the turret, were considered more urgent.

Ms. Gossard explained that the deficiencies were difficult to rank individually because they were interconnected and would continue to cause greater damage and water infiltration over time. She stated that water was actively entering the building through the roof and that the roof was considered the top priority. She explained that the roof and turret work were interconnected because the roof would have to be removed to address the turret. She also noted that some of the glass and glazing work at the roof level was leaking and that the work could affect the deteriorating flat roof. She stated that the cumulative nature of the work made the ranking system challenging.

Commissioner Gary Hamer asked whether the approximately \$8.2 million represented the total project cost. Chairman Mason confirmed that it did and asked Carie Carman whether the amount already paid for AES fees affected the total project cost. Ms. Carman stated that she would need to calculate the amount and explained that Commerce had previously reported \$158,667.00 in self-funded project costs. She noted that the Commission would consider how to handle self-funded projects under a subsequent agenda item.

Commissioner Hamer asked whether the Commerce project was being added to or amended in the FY26-FY30 OCAMP State Five-Year Plan. Ms. Carman explained that the project already existed in the original plan approved by the Commission. She stated that the Commerce project consisted of multiple projects that had been rolled into one design-build project. The project had been sent back to the agency to clarify costs and identify alternate funding sources. She explained that the original project amount of \$19,650,000 had been reduced to approximately \$8.2 million after costs were clarified, nonessential items were removed, and updated cost information was provided.

Commissioner Hamer summarized that the reduction represented cost savings and elimination of some line items, and Ms. Carman agreed. Commissioner Hamer then asked why the project needed to be amended if it was already included in the plan. Ms. Carman explained that the amendment process involved sending the previously approved projects back to agencies to identify amounts that had already been funded through agency savings, maintenance budgets, direct legislative appropriations, Legacy Capital Funds, millage, federal funding, or other sources, as well as to firm up project costs. She stated that some project costs increased while others decreased as agencies obtained updated costs or bids. She further explained that some items were removed after agencies clarified their condition and priority. As a result of the process, the revised project request list for the FY26 amendment was reduced from approximately \$118 million to approximately \$73 million.

Commissioner Hamer asked whether the request under Item 10 was to amend the FY26-FY30 State Five-Year Plan to reflect the Department of Commerce's amended cost estimates. Ms. Carman confirmed that it was.

Commissioner Gary Hamer moved to approve Item 10 to recognize the amended and adjusted cost estimates to the FY26-FY30 State Five-Year Plan, declaring an emergency as shown in Agenda Item 10. Commissioner Josh Cruzan seconded the motion. The motion passed, and the following votes were recorded:

Mr. Cruzan, aye; Mr. Ersteniuk, aye; Mr. Hamer, aye; Mr. Cook, aye; Mr. Sammons, aye; Chair Mason, aye.

11. Discussion and possible action regarding partially self-funded projects, including the deduction of agency's applicable self-funding from the amount requested through OCAMP funding.

Chairman Mason stated that the Commission had discussed projects involving alternative funding, including projects for which agencies had provided self-funding. He asked whether the Commission was accepting the agencies' self-funding of those projects.

Mr. Monte Kaul explained that the discussion concerned the treatment of self-funded projects as a policy. He summarized two allocation methods for the Commission's consideration: Allocation Method 1, Distribution by Percentage; and Allocation Method 2, Commerce Fully Funded and Distribution by Percentage. He explained that each method included Option A, Unfunded Balance, and Option B, Self-Funded Included.

Chairman Mason asked whether the Commission should give agencies credit for using their own funds to address projects. Mr. Kaul and Ms. Carie Carman confirmed that was the intent.

Commissioner Gary Hamer asked whether the plan would be amended to reflect a new total of the unfunded amount. Mr. Kaul explained the proposed treatment of self-funded projects and stated that the approach would be adopted as a policy.

Commissioner Bailey Cook asked whether the proposed approach was a state policy for future use or specific to the projects presented. Mr. Kaul stated that it would be a policy. In response to Commissioner Cook's question regarding who would determine the self-funded amount, Mr. Kaul explained that agencies are asked to report self-funding as part of their requests in the plan, along with other sources of funding.

Chairman Mason discussed the Commission's efforts to encourage agencies to use available funds to properly maintain building systems and equipment and stated that the proposed approach

would give credit to agencies that use their own funds to help ensure the useful life of equipment. Mr. Kaul agreed.

Counsel Mary Jackson added that some of the details being presented to the Commission were not specifically addressed by statute and that the Commission was in the process of drafting administrative rules. She stated that the discussion would assist in determining how these matters may be handled in the future.

Commissioner Hamer asked for clarification regarding the treatment of self-funding shown on the summary sheet. Ms. Carman explained that the summary provided the Commission with information regarding the agencies' total requests, alternative funding, and potential allocations under the different options. Commissioner Hamer stated that the explanation clarified the issue.

Chairman Travis Mason moved to approve the matter regarding partially self-funded projects, including the deduction of agency's applicable self-funding from the amount requested through OCAMP funding. Commissioner Bailey Cook seconded the motion. The motion passed, and the following votes were recorded:

Mr. Cruzan, aye; Mr. Ersteniuk, aye; Mr. Hamer, aye; Mr. Cook, aye; Mr. Sammons, aye; Chair Mason, aye.

Following the vote, Chairman Mason called for a five-minute recess. The Commission reconvened following the recess, and a roll call was taken. A quorum was established with the same voting members present.

12. Discussion and possible action to direct the disbursement of FY26 funding of the OCAMP State Five-year Plan.

Mr. Monte Kaul reviewed the allocation methods and options presented to the Commission for the disbursement of FY26 OCAMP funding. He explained that Allocation Method 1 provided for distribution by percentage, while Allocation Method 2 provided for fully funding the Commerce Building project before distributing the remaining funds by percentage. He noted that each method included Option A, Unfunded Balance, and Option B, Self-Funded Included.

Mr. Kaul discussed an option under Allocation Method 1, Option B, under which Commerce would receive its percentage allocation and additional funds could be drawn from the OCAMP Fund, resulting in an allocation of approximately \$53 million rather than \$50 million. Commissioner Josh Cruzan asked whether the additional funds were related to the interest deposit, and Mr. Kaul confirmed that they were.

Chairman Mason asked how unused contingency funds would be handled. Ms. Carie Carman explained that funds not spent on a project would be returned to the OCAMP Fund and that agencies would submit applicable documentation when they were ready to proceed with a project.

Chairman Mason discussed the allocation methods and options and noted that, based on the Commission's action regarding partially self-funded projects, Option B would be appropriate. He asked the Commissioners to consider whether funding should be distributed proportionally or whether the Commerce Building project should be fully funded before distributing the remaining funds.

Commissioner Gary Hamer stated that fully funding the Commerce Building project under Allocation Method 2 was considered because of the emergency associated with the project. Chairman Mason noted the Commission's recent tour of the Commerce Building and the visible condition issues affecting the facility. He discussed the possibility of distributing funds proportionally while using an additional \$3 million from the OCAMP State Building Fund to address the Commerce Building emergency.

Commissioner Hamer stated that Allocation Method 1, Option B, with the allocation increased from approximately \$50 million to \$53 million, could address the Commerce Building emergency without reducing other agencies' proportional allocations.

Chairman Travis Mason moved to approve directing the disbursement of FY26 funding of the OCAMP State Five-Year Plan to reflect a distribution by percentage using Allocation Method 1: Option B: Self-Funded Included. Commissioner Gary Hamer seconded the motion. The motion passed, and the following votes were recorded:

Mr. Cruzan, aye; Mr. Ersteniuk, aye; Mr. Hamer, aye; Mr. Cook, aye; Mr. Sammons, aye;
Chair Mason, aye.

and

Travis Mason moved to approve \$3 million from the OCAMP State Building Fund to Commerce to complete its project. Anthony Sammons seconded the motion. The motion passed, and the following votes were recorded:

Mr. Cruzan, aye; Mr. Ersteniuk, aye; Mr. Hamer, aye; Mr. Cook, aye; Mr. Sammons, aye;
Chair Mason, aye.

13. **Discussion and possible action to appoint rules liaisons pursuant to OAC 655:10-1-6 for Title 428: Long-Range Capital Planning Commission.**

Commission Counsel Mary Jackson, OMES, provided an update on the administrative rulemaking process, which is handled by the OMES Legal Division. She recommended appointing Jonny Grimes as the primary rules liaison and Alysa Mendoza as the alternate rules liaison for the Long-Range Capital Planning Commission, as required by the Secretary of State.

Chairman Travis Mason moved to appoint rules liaisons pursuant to OMES. Commissioner Bailey Cook seconded the motion. The motion passed, and the following votes were recorded:

Mr. Cruzan, aye; Mr. Ersteniuk, aye; Mr. Hamer, aye; Mr. Cook, aye; Mr. Sammons, aye;
Chair Mason, aye.

14. Discussion and possible action to appoint attestation officers pursuant to OAC 655:10-1-6 for Title 428: Long-Range Capital Planning Commission.

Commission Counsel Mary Jackson, OMES, explained that the attestation officers are responsible for attesting to OMES' compliance with the Administrative Procedures Act (APA). She recommended appointing Monte Kaul as the primary attestation officer and Carie Carman as the alternate attestation officer for the Long-Range Capital Planning Commission, as required by the Secretary of State.

Chairman Travis Mason moved to appoint the attestation officers pursuant to OMES. Commissioner Bailey Cook seconded the motion. The motion passed, and the following votes were recorded:

Mr. Cruzan, aye; Mr. Ersteniuk, aye; Mr. Hamer, aye; Mr. Cook, aye; Mr. Sammons, aye;
Chair Mason, aye.

15. Presentation, discussion, and possible action regarding statutory changes and emergency rulemaking for the administrative rules for Title 428: Long-Range Capital Planning Commission.

Commission Counsel Mary Jackson, OMES, provided an update regarding recent statutory changes affecting the Long-Range Capital Planning Commission and the administrative rulemaking process. She noted that certain statutory changes became effective July 1, 2026, and discussed additional statutory changes that will take effect January 1, 2027. Ms. Jackson noted that two versions of certain statutory provisions were enacted through Senate Bill 1991 and Senate Bill 1877 and that OMES Legal would review the provisions and discuss the matter with appropriate OMES personnel.

Ms. Jackson also provided an update on the status of the Commission's proposed administrative rules. She explained that additional review of the proposed rules was required following the

enactment of the REINS Act, including a more detailed analysis and explanation of the rules' potential impact in the rules impact statement. She stated that the initial rules review determined that the proposed rules would be considered major rules and that, during subsequent review by the Legislative Office of Fiscal Transparency (LOFT), additional procedural requirements were identified that had not been addressed in the initial submission.

Ms. Jackson stated that, following discussions with Representative Kendricks, it was determined that the proposed rules would be officially withdrawn and would not proceed through the rulemaking process at that time. She explained that OMES will withdraw and redraft the rules for resubmission through the emergency rulemaking process. She stated that the purpose of bringing the matter before the Commission was to provide an update on the status of the rules and obtain input from the Commission as the rules are redrafted. No action was requested from the Commission at that time.

No action was taken.

16. Discussion limited to agenda items and 3 minutes in duration.

Chairman Mason reflected on the recent celebration of the 250th anniversary of the United States and expressed his appreciation for the Commissioners who volunteer their time to help ensure that government operates in the best way possible. He also recognized the hard work of legislators and others working to make Oklahoma the best state possible. Chairman Mason noted that the Commission's work is a way to appreciate what makes the country great.

No action was taken.

17. Adjournment:

There being no further business, Commissioner Josh Cruzan moved to adjourn the meeting. Commissioner Bailey Cook seconded the motion. Seeing no opposition, the meeting adjourned at 3:32 PM.